



Jurnal Pendidikan tgIPS Indonesia is licensed under A Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License

STUDY ON CARBON CONTENT AND ECOLOGICAL MANGROVE INDEX IN MUARAREJA, TEGAL, CENTRAL JAVA

Dr. Ir. SUYONO, M.Pi.

Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, University of Pancasakti Tegal E-mail : suyono@upstegal.ac.id ; suyono.faperi.ups@gmail.com

Abstract. The accumulation of carbon in the atmosphere causing the greenhouse effect as a result of trapping of short-wave sunlight, which increase the temperature of Earth's atmosphere. One of the forest ecosystems that can reduce the effects of greenhouse gases and to mitigate the climate change is a mangrove forest. The role of mangroves related to the Blue Carbon was emphasized as mangrove efforts to utilize CO₂ for photosynthesis and store it in biomass stock and sediment as a climate change mitigation efforts. The purpose of the research are a) to determine the density, dominance and the importance value of mangroves index, b) to know the Diversity Index and Uniformity Index of mangrove that dominates, c) to determine the content of carbon in the mangrove forests, and d) to determine the relationship of the density of mangrove with a carbon content in the mangrove forest area in the village of Muarareja Tegal. Mangrove areas in the Village of Muarareja was dominated by Rhizophora mucronata with Relative Density (KR) in ponds: 42.11% - 100%, residential homes: 28.57% - 45.45%, the river: 31.58% - 61.90%, the beach : 59.09% - 73.68%. Relative dominance (DR) of ponds station: 34.71% - 100%, residential home stations: 14.24% - 39.61%, river station: 20.46% - 72.95%, coast stations: 64.08% - 92,25%. Importance Value Index (IVI) in ponds station: 76.82% - 200%, residential home stations: 42.81% - 85.07%, the river station: 52.03% - 134.85%, coast stations: 123.17% - 165.93%. Diversity Index (H) mangrove Rhizophora mucronata ranged by 0.000 - 0.692 and Uniformity Index (J) mangrove ranged by 0.000 - 2.107. The largest carbon content (tons / ha) was produced at the coast with the average number of total carbon content of the plot (tons / ha) was 189,942.77 tons / ha, followed by the river with the average number of total carbon content of the plot (tons / ha) was 179,011.49 tons / ha, the average number of total carbon content ot the plot for the ponds (tons / ha) was 176,519.79 tons / ha and with the average number of total carbon content of the plot (tons / ha) for the residential homes was 165,774.82 tons / ha. The density of mangrove has a very close correlation with the total carbon content in liters per hectare of the plot, the total carbon content in plants per hectare of the plot below, the total carbon content in leaves per hectare of the plot, and the total carbon content of soil per hectare of the plot. The total carbon content of the tree biomass per hectare on the plot, the total carbon content of nekromassa per hectare of the plot, and the total carbon content in the roots per hectare of the plots does not have a positive correlation with the density of mangrove.

Keywords: carbon, biomass, density, mangrove

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Global warming is the defining issue of climate change impacts that affect life on Earth. Global warming occurs due to increased concentrations of greenhouse gases (GHGs) in the layer of Earth's atmosphere. The atmosphere receives more carbon than emit carbon dioxide, from the combustion of fossil fuels, motor vehicles and industrial machinery, as well as accumulating carbon (Donato et al., 2012.). Tropical deforestation contributed to add carbon to the atmosphere (DeFries et al., 2002), while the volume of CO_2 absorption is reduced as a result of deforestation, land use change and development. The accumulation of carbon in the atmosphere causing the greenhouse effect as a result of trapping of short-wave sunlight, thereby increasing the temperature of the earth's atmosphere. One of forest ecosystems can reduce the effects of greenhouse gases and climate change mitigation are as mangrove forests



(Komiyama et al., 2005). Mangrove is a potential absorber and CO₂ storage that can be used as a parameter for assessment of Blue Carbon Ecosystem. The role of mangroves in conjunction with the Blue Carbon emphasized as mangrove efforts in utilizing CO₂ for photosynthesis and store it in biomass stock and sediment as a climate change mitigation efforts. The existence of mangrove ecosystems provide benefits for coastal water ecosystems, among others as feeding, spawning and nursery grounds. Rapid development has a negative impact on the environment. Anthropogenic activities are known to increase the input of inorganic nutrient and organic carbon in estuarine and coastal waters (Ghufran, 2012).

1.2 Problems of Study

Muarareja area is one of the villages in which there are quite extensive mangrove areas. Disproportionate utilization may cause the density of mangroves decreased, resulting in decreased absorption and storage of carbon in mangrove. The problems to be solved in this study include:

- a. The circumstances of the importance value index of mangrove ecosysrem in Muarareja?
- b. How many content of carbon in mangrove vegetation in Muarareja Tegal?
- c. How much carbon in mangrove ecosystem in Muarareja?

1.3 Objectives of Study

The purposes of this research are :

- 1. Knowing density, dominance, uniformity and diversity index, importance value index in the mangrove ecosystem in Muarareja Tegal.
- 2. Knowing the carbon content in mangrove forest in Muarareja Tegal.

3. Knowing the relationship mangrove density with carbon content in Muarareja mangrove forests.

1.4 Contributions of Study

This study is academically can increase the contribution of science to important information where mangrove towards concentration increased of carbon in the atmosphere, and can provide information for managers to use for the benefit of the protection, utilization of mangrove resources

II. METODE

This research was conducted in October-December 2015 in mangrove forest in Muarareja Tegal. Observations carbon content in mangrove vegetation biomass samples was conducted at the Laboratory of the Agriculture Faculty, University of General Sudirman. The research location wich in the mangrove areas in Muarareja Tegal Village consists of four stations representing vegetation and carbon concentration of mangroves in Muarareja Tegal, namely : 1. mangrove on the pond areas; 2. mangrove in around of human population areas ; 3. mangrove in the river areas; and 4. mangrove in coastal areas.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 RESULT

3.1.1 Mangrove Vegetation

Mangrove vegetation are calculated in this study consisted of: density (De), dominance (Do), basal area (BA), relative density (RDe), relative dominance (RDo) and importance value index (IVI). Calculation of mangrove vegetation data for each station is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Data of mangrove vegetation each station

N o	Type of mangrove	De	Do (cm)	BA (m ²)	RDe	RDo	IV I
St							
a.							
1							



							20								31
	Rhizophora			2.221,	100,	100,	0,								97
a1	mucronata	19	532	74	00	00	00		Avicenia marina			633,1	52,3	45,3	,6
	Avicenia	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,		marma	11	284	5	8	1	9
	marina	0	0	0,00 2.221,	0,00	0,00	00	_	Total	21		1.397, 30			
	Total	19		2.221, 74			-			41		50			52
							76		Rhizophora		178,	250,1	31,5	20,4	,0
•	Rhizophora	0	175	240,4	42,1	34,7	,8	c3	mucronata	6	5	2	8	6	3
a2	mucronata	8	175	1	1	1	2 12	-	Avicenia			972.6	68,4	79,5	14 7,
	Avicenia			452,1	57,8	65,2	3,		marina	13	352	5	2	4	97
	marina	11	240	6	9	9	18	_	Total			1.222,			
	Total	19		692,5 7			-	St		19		77			
		19		/			15	a.							
	Rhizophora			1.169,	70,3	82,1	2,	4							
a3	mucronata	19	386	62	7	4	51	_				1.021	65 0		13
	Avicenia			254,3	29,6	17,8	47	d1	Rhizophora mucronata	13	496	1.931, 23	65,0 0	74,7 9	9, 79
	marina	8	180	4	3	6	9	41		15	470	23	0		60
	Total			1.423,					Avicenia marina			651,1	35,0	25,2	,2
64	Total	27		96					manna	7	288	1	0	1	1
St a.									Total	20		2.582, 34			
2															12
							76		Rhizophora			1.268,	59,0	64,0	3,
b1	Rhizophora mucronata	3	86	58,06	37,5 0	38,8 0	,3 0	d2	mucronata	13	402	59	9	8	17 76
01		5	00	56,00	0	0	12	_	Avicenia			711,2	40,9	35,9	,8
	Avicenia marina				62,5	61,2	3,		marina	9	301	2	1	2	3
	танта	5	108	91,56	0	0	70	_	Total			1.979,			
	Total	8		149,6 2			-			22		81			16
							85		Rhizophora			1.554,	73,6	92,2	5,
	Rhizophora	-			45,4	39,6	,0	d3	mucronata	14	445	50	8	5	93
b2	mucronata	5	98	75,39	5	1	7	_	Avicenia			130,6	26,3		34 ,0
	Avicenia			114,9	54,5	60,3	4,		marina	5	129	130,0	20,5	7,75	,0 7
	marina	6	121	3	5	9	93		Total			1685,		.,	
	Total	11		190,3					Total	19		13	1 //	015	
		11		2			42	_	Type of	mor	arouc	Source: Re			
	Rhizophora				28,5	14,2	,8	М		'egal		domina		by	
b3	mucronata	2	33	8,55	7	4	1		5	0		with		•	
	Avicenia				71,4	85,7	15 7,		ensity (RDe	mucro					
	marina	5	81	51,50	3	65,7	7, 19		• •			· -	,		
	Total	7		60,05					.11 to 100%			•	,		
St									57 to 45.				,		
a. 3									.58 to 61.9	,					
5							13		.09 to 73.						
	Rhizophora			880,9	61,9	72,9	4,	`	Do) at Stat		'	,			
c1	mucronata	13	335	7	0	5	85 65		0%, Station			,			
	Avicenia			326,6	38,1	27,0	,1		.61%, Statio		,				
	marina	8	204	9	0	5	5		%, station		,				
	Total			1.207,					.25%. Impo						
	Rhizophora	21		65 764,1	47,6	54,6	10	Station 1 (pond) of : 76.82 to 200%,							
c2	mucronata	10	312	704,1	47,0	54,6 9	2,	Station 2 (residential) of : 42.81 to 85.07%,							
					-	·	,	_							



Station 3 (rivers) of : 52.03 to 134 , 85%, station 4 (beach) amounted to: 123.17 to 165.93%.

Type Avicenia marina with Relative Density (RDe) at Station 1 (pond) of : 0.00 to 57.89%, Station 2 (residential) of : 54.55 to 71.43%, Station 3 (rivers) of : 38.10 to 68.42%, station 4 (beach) of : 26.32 to 40.91%. Relative dominance (RDo) at Station 1 (pond) of : 0.00 to 65.29%, Station 2 (residential) of : 60.39 to 85.76%, Station 3 (rivers) of : 27.05 -79.54%, station 4 (beach) of : 7.75 to 35.92%. Importance Value Index (IVI) at Station 1 (pond) of : 0.00 to 123.18%, Station 2 (residential) amounted to : 114.93 to 157.19%, Station 3 (river) at : 65.15 - 147.97%, station 4 (beach) of : 34.07 to 76.83%. The density of mangroves in coastal areas (Station 4) has a density of mangrove higher than at the other stations.

The high density of mangroves in the coastal areas related mangrove rehabilitation program in Tegal conducted in 2010 - 2012.

The Diversity Index (H) and Uniformity Index (J) of Mangrove in Muarareja Tegal presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Di	versity Index (H) and Uniformity Index (J) of
	Mangrove in Sub Muarareia

	Mangrov	e in Sub N	Tuarareja		
	Type of		Pi Ln		
No	mangrove	Pi	Pi	H'	J'
Station					
1					
	Rhizophora	1,00		0,00	0,0
a1	mucronata	0	0,000	0	00
	Avicenia	0,00			
	marina	0	0,000		
	Rhizophora	0,42		0,68	2,0
a2	mucronata	1	0,364	1	04
	Avicenia	0,57			
	marina	9	0,316		
	Rhizophora	0,70		0,60	2,0
a3	mucronata	4	0,247	8	03
	Avicenia	0,29			
	marina	6	0,360		
Station					
2					

1	Rhizophora	0,37	1	0,66	1,3
b1	mucronata	5	0,368	2	76
	Avicenia	0,62	<i>,</i>		
	marina	5	0,294		
	Rhizophora	0,45		0,68	1,6
b2	mucronata	5	0,358	9	52
	Avicenia	0,54			
	marina	5	0,331		
	Rhizophora	0,28		0,59	1,1
b3	mucronata	6	0,358	8	64
	Avicenia	0,71			
	marina	4	0,240		
Station					
3					
	Rhizophora	0,61		0,66	2,0
c1	mucronata	9	0,297	5	23
	Avicenia	0,38			
	marina	1	0,368		
	Rhizophora	0,47		0,69	2,1
c2	mucronata	6	0,353	2	07
	Avicenia	0,52			
	marina	4	0,339		
	Rhizophora	0,31		0,62	1,8
c3	mucronata	6	0,364	4	36
	Avicenia	0,68			
	marina	4	0,260		
Station					
4					
	Rhizophora	0,65		0,64	1,9
d1	mucronata	0	0,280	7	40
	Avicenia	0,35			
	marina	0	0,367		
	Rhizophora	0,59		0,67	2,0
d2	mucronata	1	0,311	7	91
	Avicenia	0,40			
	marina	9	0,366		
	Rhizophora	0,73		0,57	1,6
d3	mucronata	7	0,225	6	97
	Avicenia	0,26			
	marina	3	0,351		

Source: Research (2015)

The mangrove species uniformity in Muarareja Tegal is dominated by *Rhizophora mucronata*. Diversity Index (H) of mangrove is ranged from .000 to .692 and Uniformity Index (J) of mangrove is ranged from 0.000 to 2.107.

3.1.2 Content of Carbon

The carbon content is measured in this study consisted of : total carbon content of tree biomass, litter, undergrowth, necromassa, leaves, roots and soils per hectare on a plot. The content of carbon during the study presented in Table 3.



	Table 3. Content of Carbon								
Locati	Total of carbon (ton/ha.)								
on	C _{bio}	C	Cunderg	C _{necrom}	C _{leav}	Croot	C		
OII	massa	C _{litter}	rowth	assa	es	s	C_{soils}		
Statio									
nn 1									
	7.99	4.75	222,4		5.92	5.11	156.2		
a1	3,21	0,13	7	1,15	2,00	3,60	75,00		
	2.60	4.64	219,3		5.79	5.13	155.1		
a2	7,10	9,87	3	1,15	6,67	8,67	00,00		
	3.61	4.65	219,3		5.89	5.10	156.2		
a3	6,28	9,27	3	1,15	0,67	7,33	75,00		
Avera	4.73	4.68	220,3		5.86	5.11	155.8		
ge	8,86	6,42	8	1,15	9,78	9,87	83,33		
Statio									
n 2									
	1.07	4.17	162,9		4.99	5.05	151.2		
b1	7,62	3,60	3	2,19	1,40	7,20	22,50		
	1.27	3.82	156,6		4.85	5.01	150.6		
b2	1,27	2,67	7	2,19	6,67	3,33	35,00		
	499,	3.82	156,6		4.88	5.10	150.4		
b3	24	5,80	7	2,19	8,00	7,33	00,00		
Avera	949,	3.94	158,7		4.91	5.05	150.7		
ge	38	0,69	6	2,19	2,02	9,29	52,50		
Statio									
n 3									
	4.73	4.89	263,2		5.86	5.14	159.5		
c1	8,50	4,27	0	2,31	5,60	8,07	65,00		
	5.69	4.86	253,8		5.79	5.01	159.8		
c2	0,58	2,93	0	2,31	6,67	3,33	00,00		
	499,	4.88	250,6		5.76	5.10	158.6		
c2	24	8,00	7	2,31	5,33	7,33	25,00		
Avera	3.64	4.88	255,8		5.80	5.08	159.3		
ge	2,77	1,73	9	2,31	9,20	9,58	30,00		
Statio									
n 4									
	17.6								
	38,4	4.86	288,2		5.94	5.14	161.4		
d1	8	9,20	7	2,34	3,93	4,93	45,00		
	11.5								
1.0	31,7	4.88	288,2	a - ·	5.89	5.10	159.8		
d2	3	8,00	7	2,34	0,67	7,33	00,00		
	10.2		.						
10	34,1	4.89	294,5		5.90	5.15	160.5		
d3	3	7,40	3	2,34	3,20	1,20	05,00		
Ι.	13.1	4.00	2 00 2		7 01		1.00 -		
Avera	34,7	4.88	290,3	0.04	5.91	5.13	160.5		
ge	8	4,87	6	2,34	2,60	4,49	83,33		

Carbon content at Station 4 (mangrove in coastal area) obtained a higher than the other stations. This is related to the density of mangroves in Station 4.

Total carbon stock in stratum contained in Muarareja mangrove areas presented in Table 4.

Location	C _{plot} (ton/ha)	C _{stratum} (ton)
Station 1		
al	180.277,56	12.018.504,20

a2	173.512,78	
a3	175.769,03	
Average	1765.19,79	
Station 2		
b1	166.687,44	
b2	165.757,79	11.112.496,20
b3	164.879,22	
Average	165.774,82	
Station 3		
c1	180.476,95	
c2	181.419,62	12.031.796,75
c2	175.137,88	
Average	179.011,49	
Station 4		
d1	195.332,16	
d2	187.508,34	13.022.143,71
d3	186.987,81	
Average	189.942,77	

Source: Primary Data, 2015

The total carbon content in the plot generated at Station 4 with the average amount of 189,942.77 tons / ha followed by stations 3 of 179,011.49 tons / ha, Station 1 amounted to 176,519.79 tons / ha and station 2 of 165,774.82 tons / ha. The carbon stocks in mangrove forest stratum ($C_{stratum}$) is the highest obtained at Station 4 of 13,022,143.71 tons / ha.

3.1.3 The Relationship between Mangrove Density with Carbon Content

Relationship between mangrove density (x) with carbon content (y) can be seen from the relationship linear regression as follows:

- 1. Total carbon content of trunks biomass ($C_{biomassa}$) Regression analysis between mangrove density (x) to the total carbon content of tree biomass (trunks) per hectare on a plot (y), resulting in the regression line equation y = -1,544.820 +403.452 x with correlation coefficients (r) = 0.452 and determinants (D) = 0.205. Test F produces F count = 2,571 <F table (1; 10) = 4.96 with probability sig / 0.140 greater significance (> 0.05), so the regression equation can not predict the total value of the carbon content of tree biomass based on the values of mangrove density.
- 2. The total carbon content in litter (C_{litter})

Regression analysis between mangrove density (x) to the total carbon content in litter per hectare on a plot (y), resulting in the regression line equation y = 3562.271 + 58.375 x with



correlation coefficients (r) = 0.840 and determinants (D) = 0.705. Test F produces F count = 23.876> F table (1; 10) = 4.96 with probability sig / significance 0.001 smaller (<0.05). The regression equation can predict the total value of the carbon content in litter based on the values of mangrove density. T test to determine the significance of the constants and the dependent variable (total carbon content in litter per hectare on the plot) obtained 4.886 t count > t table 0.025 (11) =2.201 with probability sig / significance 0.001 smaller (<0.05). It was decided there is a positive correlation (real) between mangrove density to total carbon content in litter. This means that the density of mangrove and total carbon content in litter per hectare has a relationship of mutual influence on each other. Each additional mangrove density strongly influence the addition of total carbon content in litter.

3. The total carbon content in undergrowth $(C_{undergrowth})$

Regression analysis between mangrove density (x) to the total carbon content in undergrowth per hectare on the plot (y), obtained regression line y = 118.837 + 6.338 x with correlation coefficients (r) = 0.743 and determinants (D) = 0.552. F test obtained F count = 12.305> F table (1; 10) = 4.96 with probability sig / significance 0.006 smaller (<0.05). The regression equation can predict total value of the carbon content in undergrowth based on values of mangrove density. T test to determine the significance of constants and dependent variable (total carbon content in undergrowth per hectare on the plot) obtained 3.508 t count> t table 0,025 (11) = 2.201 with probability sig / significance 0.006 smaller (<0.05). There is a positive correlation (real) between mangrove density to total carbon content in undergrowth. This means that density of mangrove and total carbon content in undergrowth per hectare on a plot (y) has a relationship of mutual influence on each other. Each additional mangrove density strongly influence the addition of total carbon content in undergrowth.

- 4. Total carbon content in necromass (C_{necromassa}) Regression analysis between mangrove density (x) to total carbon content necromass per hectare on the plot (y), resulting in regression line equation y = 2.456 + 0.026 x with correlation coefficients (r) = 0.300and determinants (D) = 0.090. Test F produces F count = 0.987 < F table (1; 10) = 4.96 with probability sig / 0.344 greater significance (> 0.05). The regression equation can not predict total value of carbon content on necromass based on values of mangrove density. This is due dead mangrove necromass have little nutritional value and can not grow well, due to weathering and flooding are common.
- 5. Total carbon content in leaves (C_{leaves}) Regression analysis between mangrove density (x) to total carbon content in leaves per hectare on a plot (y), resulting in regression line equation y = 4447.564 + 66.385 x with correlation coefficients (r) = 0.912and determinants (D) = 0.831. Test F produces F count = 49.207 > F table (1; 10) = 4.96 with probability sig / significance 0.000 (<0.05). The regression equation can predict the total value of the carbon content in leaves based on values of mangrove density. T test to determine the significance of the constants and the dependent variable (total carbon content in leaves) yielded 7.015 t count > t table 0,025 (11) = 2.201 with probability sig / significance 0.000 (<0.05). It was decided there is a positive correlation (real) between mangrove density to total carbon content in leaves. This means that density of mangrove and total carbon content in leaves have a relationship of mutual influence one another. Mangrove density is high, biomass of trees are large and the leaves are dense so that the power uptake and storage carbon was higher. Each additional mangrove density strongly influence the addition of total carbon content in leaves.
- 6. Total carbon content in the roots (C_{roots})

Regression analysis between mangrove density (x) to total carbon content in roots per hectare on a plot (y), resulting in the regression line equation y = 5049.370 + 2.898 x with



correlation coefficients (r) = 0.358 and determinants (D) = 0.128. Test F produces F count = 1,470 < F table (1; 10) = 4.96 with probability sig / 0,253 greater significance (> 0.05). The regression equation can not predict the value of the total carbon content in the roots based on the values of the density of mangrove, shown by a carbon content in total at the root. Station 4 has the highest value and the lowest at station 2 which in this region often happens post, so the tree often undergo a process of adaptation to a place to grow and have a weak rooting system.

7. The total carbon content of soil (C_{soil})

Regression analysis between mangrove density (x) to the total carbon content of soil per hectare on a plot (y), resulting in the regression line equation y = 147,315.120 + 525.193 x with correlation coefficients (r) = 0.781and determinants (D) = 0.610. Test F produces F count = 15.616> F table (1; 10) = 4.96 with probability sig / significance 0.003 smaller (<0.05). The regression equation can predict total value of the carbon content of soil based on the value of mangrove density. T test to determine the significance of the constants and the dependent variable (total carbon content of soil per hectare on the plots) yielded 3.952 t count > t table 0,025 (11) = 2.201 with probability sig / significance 0.003 smaller It was decided there a positive (<0.05). correlation (real) between mangrove density to total carbon content of soil. This means that the density of mangrove and the total carbon content of soil have a relationship of mutual influence on each other. Each additional mangrove density strongly influence addition of total carbon content of soil. The land is a source of organic nutrients derived from biogenic materials wich degraded by bymicrobe / microorganism (decomposition).

8. Total carbon content in the plot (C_{total})

Regression analysis between mangrove density (x) of the total Carbon content (y), resulting in the regression line equation y = 158,950.7871062.616 + x with a correlation coefficient (r) = 0.673 and determinants (D) = 0.453. Test F produces F count = 8.285 > table F(1, 10) =4.96 with probability sig / significance of 0.016 smaller (<0.05). The regression equation can predict total value of carbon content of soil based on values of mangrove density. T test to determine the significance of constants and dependent variable (total carbon content of soil), yieldied 2.878 t count > t table 0,025 (11) =2.201 with probability sig / significance of 0.016 smaller (<0.05). It was decided there is a positive correlation (real) between mangrove density to total carbon content of soil. This means that density of mangrove and total carbon content of soil have a relationship of mutual influence on each other. Each additional mangrove density strongly influence addition of total carbon content of soil.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the results of the study can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Mangrove area in Muarareja Tegal dominated by *Rhizophora mucronata* with Relative Density (DeR) at Station 1 (pond) of: 42.11 to 100%, Station 2 (residential) of: 28.57 to 45.45%, station 3 (rivers) of: 31.58 to 61.90%, station 4 (beach) of: 59.09 to 73.68%. Relative dominance (DoR) at Station 1 (pond) of: 34.71 to 100%, Station 2 (residential house) of: 14.24 to 39.61%, Station 3 (rivers) of: 20.46 to 72, 95%, station 4 (beach) of: 64.08 to 92.25%. Importance Value Index (IVI) at Station 1 (pond) of: 76.82 to 200%, Station 2 (residential house) of: 42.81 to 85.07%, Station 3 (rivers) of: 52.03 to 134 , 85%, station 4 (beach) amounted to: 123.17 to 165.93%.
- 2. Diversity Index (H) of *Rhizophora mucronata* ranged from .000 to .692 and Uniformity Index (J) ranged from 0.000 to 2.107.
- 3. The largest carbon content generated at Station 4 with average number of total carbon content amounting to 189,942.77 tons / ha., followed at Station 3 amounting to 179,011.49 tons / ha, Station 1 amounting to 176,519.79 tonnes / ha and at station 2 amounting to 165,774.82 tons / ha.



4. Mangrove density has a very close relationship with total carbon content in litter, undergrowth, leaves, and soil. Mangrove density does not have a positive correlation with total carbon content in stem of tree biomass, necromass, and roots.

REFERENCES

- Baderan, D. 2013. Economic Valuation Model as Basic for Rehabilitation of Mangrove Forest Degradation in Kwandang Coastal Areas, North Gorontalo Regency, Gorontalo Province: University of Gadjah Mada. Yogyakarta. Journal of the Graduate, Faculty of Geography. Attractions: 1-3.
- DeWait, S.J and J. Chave. 2004. Structur and Biomass of Four Lowland Neotropical Forest. Biotropica 36 (1): 7-19.
- DeFries, R.S., A.H. Richard, C.H. Mattew, B.F. Christopher, S. David and John T. 2002. Carbon Emissions from Tropical Deforestation and Regrowth Based on Satellite Observations for the 1980s. PNAS99 (22): 14256-14261
- Dharmawan. IWS. 2010. Estimation of Carbon Biomass in the Ground at the Stand of *Rhizophora mucronata* in Ciasem, Purwakarta. J. Indonesia Agriculture Sciences. 15 (1): 50-56
- Donato DC, JB Kauffman, Murdiyarso D, Kurnianto S, M Stidham, Kannien M. 2012. Mangrove is One of the Carbon Richest Forest in Tropical Regions. [Bulletin]. CIFOR.
- Ghufran, H. K. K. M. 2012. Mangrove Ecosystem: Potential, Functionality and Management. Bineka Reserved. Jakarta.
- Hairiah, K. and Rahayu, S. 2007. Measurement of Stored Carbon in a Wide Variety of Land Use. World Agroforestry Centre. ICRAF, SEA Regional Office, University of Brawijaya, Indonesia
- Harahap, R. 2011. Biodiversity Vegetation and Saved Carbon Accounting by Mangrove Vegetation in Kuala Indah Mangrove Forest, Medan.
- IPPC. 2001. Climate Change 2001 : The

Scientific Basic. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. 881 p.

- Komiyama, A., J.E. Ong and S. Poungparn. 2008.Allometry, Biomass and Productivity of Mangrove Forest : A Review. Aquatic Botany, 89 : 128 – 137.
- Pambudi, G.P. 2011. Estimation of Biomass Plants Some Elements Class *Rhizophora apiculata bl.* in Area PT. Bina Semesta Ovovivipari, Kubu Raya, West Kalimantan. Department of Forest Resources Conservation and Ecotourism, Faculty of Forestry, Bogor Institute of Agricultural. Bogor.