



Application of Technique for Order Preference Method by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) Priority of KORPRI Housing Recipient

Ramadiani ^{1*}, Reynaldi Kurniawan ¹

Department of Computer Science at Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Mulawarman University, East Kalimantan Indonesia¹

ilkom.ramadiani@gmail.com*

Keywords :

Decision Support System;
Technique For Order
Preference by Similarity to
Ideal Solution (TOPSIS);
KORPRI Housing

ABSTRACT

The KORPRI secretariat of Samarinda city focuses on activities that lead to the welfare of members of the Indonesian republican civil service corps. The preferred activity is the KORPRI housing service for civil servants in Samarinda. The objective of the research is to implement the Technique For Order Preference By Similarity To Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) method of the KORPRI Housing Priority Decision Support System. This study uses TOPSIS method because the selected alternative has the closest distance to the ideal solution and furthest from the ideal negative solution. The results achieved from this research by applying TOPSIS method, the decision that can be given is the priority of recipients of KORPRI as the consideration of the secretariat of KORPRI in making the right decision.

INTRODUCTION

KORPRI Secretariat of Samarinda City is one of the offices located in Samarinda which conducts daily activities such as social assistance, business activities, sports coaching, cultural arts, mental and spiritual activities, other tasks assigned by other regional secretariat and chairman governing board of civil servants corps republic Indonesia. The KORPRI Samarinda City Secretariat focuses on activities that lead to the welfare of members of the Indonesian republican civil service corps. Activities undertook view from a predetermined schedule and also there where a predetermined day is of course initiated by employees who have experience in their respective fields. One of the routine activities is Friday clean, blood donation and KORPRI housing service provision for civil servants in Samarinda.

The preferred activity is the KORPRI housing service for civil servants in Samarinda. This activity takes precedence because of a large number of requests from each employee who will propose homes for each one family for one request. The problem faced is to determine the main priority of KORPRI housing recipients from the number of civil servants who apply to apply for KORPRI housing in Samarinda. The existence of the problem is needed a decision support system that can help and facilitate in determining the priority of the recipient of housing for employees who have submitted the housing so that it can precisely determine the recipient based on predetermined criteria.

Decision Support System (DSS) is an information system that is shown to assist leaders in the decision-making process. Decision Support System combines the capabilities of computers in interactive services by processing or manipulating data that utilizes unstructured models or settlement rules. This system is used to assist decision making in unstructured situations, where nobody knows exactly how decisions should be made [1][2][3][4].

Decision Support Systems can be defined as an information system that helps identify decision-making opportunities or provide information to assist decision-making [5]. The decision-making stage includes an alternative selection process of action or decision consisting of the following steps:

1. Intelligence phase
A stage of a person's process within the framework of the decision maker for the problems encountered, consisting of search activity, detection, and problem recognition process. The input data is obtained, tested in order to identify the problem.
2. Design Phase (Design Phase)
The decision process stage after the intelligence stage includes a process for understanding the problem, lowering the solution and testing the feasibility of the solution. Activities that are usually done such as finding, developing and analyzing alternative actions that can be done.
3. Phase of Choice (Choice Phase)
At this stage, a selection process is made between various possible action alternatives. The election results are then implemented in the decision-making process.
4. Implementation Phase (Implementation Phase)
At this stage is the stage of implementation of the decisions that have been taken. At this stage, it is necessary to prepare a series of planned actions so that the results of the decisions can be monitored and adjusted if necessary improvements.

METHOD

Technique For Others Reference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) is one of the first multicriteria decision-making methods introduced by Yoon and Hwang (1981). With the basic idea is that the chosen alternative has the closest distance to the ideal solution and furthest from the ideal negative solution. TOPSIS pays attention to either the distance to the ideal positive solution or to the ideal negative solution by taking a close relationship to the ideal solution. By doing a comparison of both, the order of choice can be determined [3].

The steps taken in problem-solving using TOPSIS method are:

1. Create a normalized decision matrix.
TOPSIS requires performance rating of each alternative A_i on each of the normalized C_j criteria. The normalized matrix is formed from equation 1:

$$r_{ij} = x_{ij} / \sqrt{(\sum_{i=1}^m [x_{ij}]^2)} \quad (1)$$

Where r_{ij} is a normalized initial value whereas x_{ij} is the initial value of the weight of each criterion.

2. Make a normalized matrix weighted decision.
Equation 3 is used to calculate a weighted normalized matrix, it must first be determined the value of weight that represents the absolute preference of the decision maker. The preference weight value shows the relative importance level of each criterion or subcriteria in equation 2:

$$W = \{w_1, w_2, w_3 \dots w_n\} \quad (2)$$

$$Y_{ij} = w_i \cdot r_{ij} \quad (3)$$

Where W ($w^1, w^2, w^3 \dots w^n$) is the weighted value of the importance of each criterion, whereas Y_{ij} is a normalized weighted value and the result r_{ij} result is the normalized value of equation 1.

3. Determine the matrix of positive ideal solutions and the ideal negative solution matrix

Positive ideal solutions and negative ideal solutions can be determined based on a normalized weighted rating. Note the terms of equations 4 and 5 in order to calculate the value of the ideal solution by first determining whether the benefits (benefit) or cost (cost):

$$A^+ = y_{1+}, y_{2+}, \dots, y_{n+} \quad (4)$$

$$A^- = y_{1-}, y_{2-}, \dots, y_{n-} \quad (5)$$

Where A^+ is the maximum value of the positive ideal matrix with A^- is the minimum value of the ideal negative matrix. Y_{+j} is maxed y_{ij} , if j is a benefit attribute (benefit) while $\min y_{ij}$, if j is the cost attribute (cost). Y_j is $\min y_{ij}$, if j is the benefit attribute (max) while $\max y_{ij}$, if j is the cost attribute (cost).

4. Determine the distance between the value of each alternative with the matrix of positive ideal solutions and the solution matrix

Ideal negative separation measure is a long distance measurement of an alternative to an ideal solution and a negative ideal solution. The mathematical calculations are as follows:

The alternative range (D_i^+) with the ideal ideal solution is formulated in equation 6:

$$D_i^+ = \sqrt{(\sum_{j=1}^n [(y_{ij}^+ - y_{ij})]^2)} \quad (6)$$

Where D_i^+ is the ideal ideal solution value y_{+j} is maxed y_{ij} , if j is the benefit attribute (benefit) while $\min y_{ij}$, if j is the cost attribute (cost). Y_{-j} is $\min y_{ij}$, if j is a benefit attribute (benefit) while $\max y_{ij}$, if j is a cost attribute (cost).

Alternative distance (D_i^-) with the ideal ideal solution is formulated in equation 7:

$$D_i^- = \sqrt{(\sum_{j=1}^n [(y_{ij}^- - y_{ij})]^2)} \quad (7)$$

Where D_i^- is the value of the ideal ideal solution y_{+j} is $\max y_{ij}$, if j is a benefit attribute (benefit) while $\min y_{ij}$, if j is the cost attribute (cost). Y_{-j} is $\min y_{ij}$, if j is a benefit attribute (benefit) while $\max y_{ij}$, if j is a cost attribute (cost).

5. Preference value for each alternative

The preference value (V_i) for each alternative is given in equation 8:

$$V_i = D_i^- / (D_i^- + D_i^+) \quad (8)$$

Greater V_i values indicate that A_i alternatives are preferred [3].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The results and discussion of decision support system of KORPRI housing priority priorities include design and testing. The following calculations are used in the TOPSIS method.

Table 1. Criteria of the recipient of the housing

No.	Criteria	Symbol
1	Has a House	C1
2	Years of service	C2
3	The Time Towards Retirement	C3
4	Status	C4
5	Departure	C5

Table 2. Alternative Housing Recipient Data

C1 (Has a House)	C2 (Years of Service)	C3 (The Time Towards Retirement)	C4 (Status)	C5 (Departure)
Yes	≥ 5 Years	≤ 2 Years	Widow	Class 1
No	≥ 5 Years	> 2 Years	Married	Class 3
No	< 5 Years	≤ 2 Years	Married	Class 2

Each alternative is given a scale value based on the value of preference C, so the values form the table below :

Table 2. The Value of Preference C

C1 (Has a House)	C2 (Years of Service)	C3 (The Time Towards Retirement)	C4 (Status)	C5 (Departure)
1	2	2	3	3
3	2	1	2	1
3	1	2	2	2

Matriks X :

1	2	2	3	3
3	2	1	2	1
3	1	2	2	2

Weight (W) = 3 1 2 2 1

Step 1. Each Alternative rank

Rank the performance of each alternative A_i on each of the normalized C_j criteria, that is:

$$r_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m x_{ij}^2}}$$

$$r_{11} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1^2 + 3^2 + 3^2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 9 + 9}} = 0,2294$$

$$r_{12} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2^2 + 2^2 + 1^2}}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{4 + 4 + 1}} = 0,6667$$

$$r_{13} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2^2 + 1^2 + 2^2}}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{4 + 1 + 4}} = 0,2294$$

$$r_{14} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{3^2 + 2^2 + 2^2}}$$

$$= \frac{3}{\sqrt{9 + 4 + 4}} = 0,7276$$

$$r_{15} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{3^2 + 1^2 + 2^2}}$$

$$= \frac{3}{\sqrt{9 + 1 + 4}} = 0,8018$$

$$r_{21} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{1^2 + 3^2 + 3^2}}$$

$$= \frac{3}{\sqrt{1 + 9 + 9}} = 0,6882$$

$$r_{22} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2^2 + 2^2 + 1^2}}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\sqrt{4 + 4 + 1}} = 0,6667$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{23} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^2 + 1^2 + 2^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 + 1 + 4}} = 0,3333 \\
r_{24} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3^2 + 2^2 + 2^2}} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{9 + 4 + 9}} = 0,4851 \\
r_{25} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3^2 + 1^2 + 2^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{9 + 1 + 4}} = 0,2673 \\
r_{31} &= \frac{3}{\sqrt{1^2 + 3^2 + 3^2}} \\
&= \frac{3}{\sqrt{1 + 9 + 9}} = 0,6882 \\
r_{32} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^2 + 2^2 + 1^2}} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 + 4 + 1}} = 0,3333 \\
r_{33} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{2^2 + 1^2 + 2^2}} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{4 + 1 + 4}} = 0,6667 \\
r_{34} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3^2 + 2^2 + 2^2}} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{9 + 4 + 4}} = 0,4851 \\
r_{35} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3^2 + 1^2 + 2^2}} \\
&= \frac{2}{\sqrt{9 + 1 + 4}} = 0,5345
\end{aligned}$$

The value of each alternative to calculation of step 1, which is presented in Matriks R.

$$\begin{aligned}
&0,2294 \quad 0,6667 \quad 0,6667 \quad 0,7276 \quad 0,8018 \\
R = &0,6882 \quad 0,6667 \quad 0,3333 \quad 0,4851 \quad 0,2673 \\
&0,6882 \quad 0,3333 \quad 0,6667 \quad 0,4851 \quad 0,5345
\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Decision Matrix Normalized

$$W = [3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1]$$

$$V = R * W$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{11} &= (r_{11} \times w_1) \\
&= 0,2294 \times 3 \\
&= 0,6882
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{12} &= (r_{12} \times w_2) \\
&= 0,6667 \times 1 \\
&= 0,6667
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{13} &= (r_{13} \times w_3) \\
&= 0,6667 \times 2 \\
&= 1,3333
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{14} &= (r_{14} \times w_4) \\
&= 0,7276 \times 2 \\
&= 1,4552
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{15} &= (r_{15} \times w_5) \\ &= 0,8018 \times 1 \\ &= 0,8018 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{21} &= (r_{21} \times w_1) \\ &= 0,6882 \times 3 \\ &= 2,0647 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{22} &= (r_{22} \times w_2) \\ &= 0,6667 \times 1 \\ &= 0,6667 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{23} &= (r_{23} \times w_3) \\ &= 0,3333 \times 2 \\ &= 0,6667 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{24} &= (r_{24} \times w_4) \\ &= 0,4851 \times 2 \\ &= 0,9701 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{25} &= (r_{25} \times w_5) \\ &= 0,2673 \times 1 \\ &= 0,2673 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{31} &= (r_{31} \times w_1) \\ &= 0,6882 \times 3 \\ &= 2,0647 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{32} &= (r_{32} \times w_2) \\ &= 0,3333 \times 1 \\ &= 0,3333 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{33} &= (r_{33} \times w_3) \\ &= 0,6667 \times 2 \\ &= 1,3333 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{34} &= (r_{34} \times w_4) \\ &= 0,4851 \times 2 \\ &= 0,9701 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_{35} &= (r_{35} \times w_5) \\ &= 0,5345 \times 1 \\ &= 0,5345 \end{aligned}$$

$$V = \begin{matrix} & 0,6882 & 0,6667 & 1,3333 & 1,4552 & 0,8018 \\ 2,0642 & 0,6667 & 0,6667 & 0,9701 & 0,2673 \\ 2,0642 & 0,3333 & 1,3333 & 0,9701 & 0,5345 \end{matrix}$$

Step 3. Positive and Negative Ideal Solutions

Positive Ideal Solutions (A^+) :

$$Y^{1+} = \text{MAX} (0,6884, 2,0642, 2,0642) = 2,0642$$

$$Y^{2+} = \text{MAX} (0,6667, 0,6667, 0,3333) = 0,6667$$

$$Y^{3+} = \text{MAX} (1,3333, 0,6667, 1,3333) = 1,3333$$

$$Y^{4+} = \text{MAX} (1,4552, 0,9701, 0,9701) = 1,4552$$

$$Y^{5+} = \text{MAX} (0,8018, 0,2673, 0,5345) = 0,8018$$

Negative Ideal Solutions (A^-) :

$$Y^{1-} = \text{MIN} (0,6884, 2,0642, 2,0642) = 0,6884$$

$$Y^{2-} = \text{MIN} (0,6667, 0,6667, 0,3333) = 0,3333$$

$$Y^{3-} = \text{MIN} (1,3333, 0,6667, 1,3333) = 0,6667$$

$$Y^{4-} = \text{MIN} (1,4552, 0,9701, 0,9701) = 0,9701$$

$$Y^{5-} = \text{MIN} (0,8018, 0,2673, 0,5345) = 0,2673$$

$$A^+ = 2,0642, 0,6667, 1,3333, 1,4552, 0,8018$$

$$A^- = 0,6884, 0,3333, 0,6667, 0,9701, 0,2673$$

Step 4. Calculating Ideal Solution Distance.
Proximity of Positive Ideal Solution

$$D_2^+ = \sqrt{\frac{(2,0642 - 0,6882)^2 + (0,6667 - 0,6667)^2 + (1,333 - 1,333)^2 + (1,4552 - 1,4552)^2 + (0,8018 - 0,8018)^2}{(1,4552 - 1,4552)^2 + (0,8018 - 0,8018)^2}}$$

$$= 1,3765$$

$$D_3^+ = \sqrt{\frac{(2,0642 - 2,0642)^2 + (0,6667 - 0,6667)^2 + (1,333 - 0,6667)^2 + (1,4552 - 0,9701)^2 + (0,8018 - 0,2673)^2}{(1,4552 - 0,9701)^2 + (0,8018 - 0,2673)^2}}$$

$$= 0,9826$$

$$D_1^+ = \sqrt{\frac{(2,0642 - 2,0642)^2 + (0,6667 - 0,3333)^2 + (1,333 - 1,333)^2 + (1,4552 - 0,9701)^2 + (0,8018 - 0,5345)^2}{(1,4552 - 0,9701)^2 + (0,8018 - 0,5345)^2}}$$

$$= 0,6464$$

Distance Ideal Negative Solution

$$D_1^- = \sqrt{\frac{(0,6884 - 0,6882)^2 + (0,3333 - 0,6667)^2 + (0,6667 - 1,333)^2 + (0,9701 - 1,4552)^2 + (0,2673 - 0,8018)^2}{(0,9701 - 1,4552)^2 + (0,2673 - 0,8018)^2}}$$

$$= 1,0376$$

$$D_2^- = \sqrt{\frac{(0,6884 - 0,6882)^2 + (0,3333 - 0,6667)^2 + (0,6667 - 1,333)^2 + (0,9701 - 1,4552)^2 + (0,2673 - 0,8018)^2}{(0,9701 - 1,4552)^2 + (0,2673 - 0,8018)^2}}$$

$$= 1,4163$$

$$D_3^- = \sqrt{\frac{(0,6884 - 0,6882)^2 + (0,3333 - 0,6667)^2 + (0,6667 - 1,333)^2 + (0,9701 - 1,4552)^2 + (0,2673 - 0,8018)^2}{(0,9701 - 1,4552)^2 + (0,2673 - 0,8018)^2}}$$

$$= 1,5526$$

Positive Ideal Solution Results (A^+) and Ideal Negative (A^-):

$$D_1^+ = 1,3765$$

$$D_2^+ = 0,9826$$

$$D_3^+ = 0,6464$$

$$D_1^- = 1,0376$$

$$D_2^- = 1,4163$$

$$D_3^- = 1,5526$$

5. Calculating the Preference Value.

$$V_i = \frac{D_i^-}{D_i^- + D_i^+}$$

$$V_1 = \frac{1,0376}{1,0376 + 1,3765} = 0,4298$$

$$V_2 = \frac{1,4163}{1,4163 + 0,9826} = 0,5904$$

$$V_3 = \frac{1,5526}{1,5526 + 0,6464} = 0,7060$$

So rank order: $V_3 > V_2 > V_1$ from this value V it can be seen that V_3 has the greatest value. So it can be concluded that V_3 is prioritized as the recipient of KORPRI housing.

CONCLUSION

The result of this system is obtained from TOPSIS method, which makes the normalized decision matrix then calculated using the equations in TOPSIS method So that matrix is obtained which determines the distance between the positive ideal solution value and the negative ideal solution matrix so that the main priority recipient recommendation KORPRI.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jahanshahloo GR et al. 2006. Extension of the TOPSIS method for decision-making problems with fuzzy data. *Journal Appl Math Comput* vol.181: 1544–1551
- [2] Ramadiani, Nur Aini, et.al., 2017. *Certain Factor Analysis for Extra Pulmonary Tuberculosis Diagnosis*. Proc. EECSI 2017 - Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 19-21 Sept; page 133 -139.
- [3] Efrain, 2007. *Decision Support and Intellegent System*
- [4] Turban, E. dan Aronson, J, E. 2001. *Decision Support Systems and Intelligent Systems*. 6th edition. Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ
- [5] Whitten 2007. *Decision Support and Intellegent System*. jilid 2. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi