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Abstract

An effort to minimize tax burden can be done in various ways start from inside the scope of taxation regulation to violate the taxation regulation. This research focuses on related Laws with the efforts to minimize Income tax. In general tax planning referred to engineered the business process and tax payer transaction. The aim is tax payable in minimal number but under taxation regulation scope. The outline of this study focus on planning effort of Tax Income Article 23 to minimize tax expense payable run in PT. TRIPERKASA AMININDAH Surabaya. Tax planning that done in this company refer to provision in accordance with Directorate General of Tax Decision Number : Kep-305/PJ/2001 on the estimates of nett income. Tax planning had done by this company in addition to refer the regulation also based on the condition of this company which experiencing poor performance. Then the aim that will be reached from that tax planning to reach minimal expense over the Income Tax Article 23 it can be done with gross up method. From the analysis result on the alternative it can draw a conclusion that PT. TRIPERKASA AMININDAH Surabaya has made adjustments on the regulation above, calculation of Income Tax Article 23 with gross up method in fact be able to saving the tax then suitable with the tax planning aim that is effort to minimize tax expense payable.
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Introduction

Basically from the economy aspect, tax as resource transference from private sector (Company) to public sector, however there is found a view changing about tax between the Country and the Company. For the Country, tax as one of the important income sources that used to financing the expense either routine expense and development expense, where the development results aimed for their people’s welfare. While for the Company tax as expense which reducing net profit, then from that difference view affected toward the business operational continuity of the company.

As theory, tax is the contribution of the people to the Treasury Fund based on constitution that may be imposed on non-reciprocal services that can be directly designated and used to finance general expenditures. (Sumitro, in Siti Resmi, 2003:1)

Personal Tax payer who having concerns on each economical capability addition in respect of his or her welfare, while Entity Tax Payer has concerns on income which is accounted to the owner of the company.
Tax laws in Indonesia mentioned that tax collection system that applicable today came after to the system called self-assessment system, that is tax collection system to give full credence to Tax Payer to be active calculating, calculate, deposit and self-report tax sum of payable tax in a tax period. What is meant in this case must be suitable with applicable provision, that is Tax Law Number 16 of 2000, on General Provision and Tax Procedures, what is meant Tax Payer is personal person or entity in relation to tax laws provision determined to make tax liability. Two important things that become attention from this system is the important of good bookkeeping and complete as well as good will from Tax Payer to meeting his or her tax liability.

While condition in PT. TRIPERKASA AMININDAH Surabaya transaction occurred frequently related to Income Tax payment in the current year which is deducted from the income received or derived from the capital. While with good tax planning on Income Tax Article 23, so the Company will resulting optimal profit and tax expense payable can be minimized. Service delivery or events organization beside tax deducted as mentioned in Income Tax Article 21 paid or payable by government entity or domestic tax payer subject, event organizing, permanent business form, or Company Representatives and other oversea also as object that imposed Income Tax Article 23.

In common business practices, employers identify tax payment as burden or expense, then for employers always try any efforts to push down as minimal as possible those expenses in order to optimize net profit. Tax payable determined from Taxable Income multiplied tax tariff. The greater is Taxable Income the more tax that must be paid. Minimizing tax that must be liable by Tax Payer can be strived in various ways from engineered still under scope of taxation provision by using tax management or tax embezzlement with breaking the applicable tax provision.

Tax management as a measure or business of Tax Payer to fulfill tax liability as right, but number of tax payable can be stressed as minimal as possible to gain expected profit and liquidity. Tax management is very required because if the tax management performed poor, can not close the possibilities for the Company sooner or later will get bankrupt causing wasteful use of corporate resources. This wasteful use of corporate resource produce the exist or under/ non comply, whenever it continuous then the Company will be get difficulty to compete with counterparts and finally the profit gained is not optimal.

“Function of tax management are three that is tax planning, tax implementation, and tax control”, (Sophar. 2002: 355).

Tax saving strategy that can be used is through tax planning, where tax planning as the first phase in tax management. Tax planning as legal action to make tax saving by utilize irregular things (loopholes) in taxation regulation. By good tax planning, on Income Tax Article 23, so the Company can be result optimal profit and Income Tax expense payable will be done economization.

Research Method

In this study the researcher explain the object characteristics under study, examine the aspects involved and offer troubleshooting ideas for further testing and research. Qualitative research is a research approach that uses the form of written or oral sentences, behavior, phenomena, events, knowledge or object of study. All the data collected is likely become a key to what has been studied (Moleong, 1998). Qualitative approach is chosen, because this research is more focused on understanding, thinking and perception so that researchers stand on facts, information to build the concept.

While the case study method used in this study aims to compare the theory and practice or cases in the field about the causal relationship between tax planning and its
implementation of the minimizing payable tax payments including the goal to optimize corporate profits and avoid tax sanctions and more benefits further acquired by the company.

**Result and Discussion**

In its business activities, PT. TRIPERKASA AMININDAH is faced with problems in the case of Income Tax Expense of Article 23, namely the tax burden on heavy equipment lease for construction projects, which should be tax payable (PPH Article 23) is borne by the party who lease the tools / equipment of the project, solution through the application of tax payable, through gross up method.

**Problem Causes**

a. The party that leases the project equipment (Lessor) is not willing to be taxed on the equipment lease (Article 23 PPH), so the lessee company must spend more.

b. If the company's fund is not sufficient to bear the tax burden (PPH Article 23), problems arise on its financial liquidity.

**The Results of the Problems**

As a result of the problems rise that faced by the company, especially the tax expense (Income Tax Article 23) that must be borne alone, the company will be faced with the problems, among others:

a. The Company will lose the trust of the Lessor party due to the unfulfilled tax burden (PPH Article 23) to Lessor.

b. Impact on the expected profit / profit achievement.

c. May interfere with project implementation, as a result of non-availability of heavy equipment / project equipment from Lessor parties.

**The Problem Solving**

To anticipate all the problems mentioned above, the company has made an effort to apply the Tax Planning to the Income Tax of Article 23, legally in order to minimize the tax expense payable.

With this method, the company obtains some savings, which is the difference between the results of PPh Article 25 before and after using the Gross Up method, despite a decrease in profit.

**The Calculation Implementation of Income Tax Article 23**

Implementation of the calculation of Income Tax Article 23 in PT TRIPERKASA AMININDAH is the accounting responsibility of the tax section. The implementation of Income Tax Article 23 which is done by this section, among others:

a. Withholding

b. Deposit

c. Reporting

To carry out these activities PT TRIPERKASA AMININDAH Surabaya is guided by the applicable Taxation Act. All companies engaged in contracting services on average do not have heavy equipment. To complete a project must use heavy equipment. This happened to PT TRIPERKASA AMININDAH Surabaya, as shown in table 1.
Table 1 Lease List of Contractor Service Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Rate lease per unit</th>
<th>Lease duration</th>
<th>Total lease cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Excavator</td>
<td>PT Sinar Mas Hyundai</td>
<td>3 unit</td>
<td>Rp. 3,500,000,00/month</td>
<td>3 Months</td>
<td>Rp. 31,500,000,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bulldoser</td>
<td>PT Sinar Mas Hyundai</td>
<td>2 unit</td>
<td>Rp. 4,000,000,00/month</td>
<td>7 Months</td>
<td>Rp. 56,000,000,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Wallas</td>
<td>PT Sinar Mas Hyundai</td>
<td>2 unit</td>
<td>Rp. 2,500,000,00/month</td>
<td>8 Months</td>
<td>Rp. 25,000,000,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Trackor</td>
<td>PT Sinar Mas Hyundai</td>
<td>2 unit</td>
<td>Rp. 2,500,000,00/month</td>
<td>8 Months</td>
<td>Rp. 25,000,000,00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Rp. 136,500,000,00

Source : Intern PT TRIPERKASA AMININDAH / 2007

Calculation of Income Tax Article 23 with Gross Up Method

Based on the calculation of Income Tax Article 23 which is conducted by the company, indicates that the company borne the Income Tax of Article 23. The total Income Tax Article 23 that the company borne in the year can be treated as a cost.

Table 2 PT TRIPERKASA AMININDAH SURABAYA Profit Loss Statement (Before Gross Up) For the Period Ended December 31st, 2017 *

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Service revenue</td>
<td>Rp. 900.850.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational costs:</td>
<td>Rp. 92.750.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary expense</td>
<td>Rp. 66.637.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and marketing costs</td>
<td>Rp. 64.500.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation cost of building</td>
<td>Rp. 66.437.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation cost of building</td>
<td>Rp. 66.437.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric costs</td>
<td>Rp. 55.600.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance cost</td>
<td>Rp. 12.500.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official Travel expenses</td>
<td>Rp. 38.460.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call cost</td>
<td>Rp. 12.500.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office costs</td>
<td>Rp. 671.207.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net profit after tax Rp. 229,643.000 Rp. 256,190.500

*) Intern source : PT. TRIPERKASA AMININDAH Tahun 2007

Table 3 PT TRIPERKASA AMININDAH SURABAYA Profit Loss Statement (Before Gross Up) For the Period Ended December 31st, 2017 *)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*) Intern source : PT. TRIPERKASA AMININDAH Tahun 2007
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services revenue</th>
<th>Rp. 900.850.000</th>
<th>Rp. 890.500.000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary expense</td>
<td>Rp. 92.750.000</td>
<td>Rp. 88.125.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance fee</td>
<td>Rp. 86.500.000</td>
<td>Rp. 86.500.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental costs</td>
<td>Rp. 145.213.000</td>
<td>Rp. 131.000.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and marketing costs</td>
<td>Rp. 68.500.000</td>
<td>Rp. 64.250.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation cost of building</td>
<td>Rp. 66.347.000</td>
<td>Rp. 66.347.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity and water costs</td>
<td>Rp. 66.250.000</td>
<td>Rp. 63.000.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance cost</td>
<td>Rp. 55.600.000</td>
<td>Rp. 52.300.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Official travel expenses</td>
<td>Rp. 47.800.000</td>
<td>Rp. 45.000.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call cost</td>
<td>Rp. 38.460.000</td>
<td>Rp. 35.000.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office costs</td>
<td>Rp. 12.500.000</td>
<td>Rp. 10.800.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-Operating Income and Fees

Net Profit After Tax

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10% x Rp 50.000.000 = Rp 5.000.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% x Rp 50.000.000 = Rp 7.500.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30% x Rp 120.930.000 = Rp 36.279.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net profit after tax

Gross Up Calculation Result

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PPh Article 23</th>
<th>Rp. 136.500.000</th>
<th>PPh Article 23</th>
<th>Rp. 8.190.000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

15% x 40% x Rp 136.500.000 = Rp 54,600.000

Total cash received Rp 128.310.000

The leasing party does not want to receive cash amounting Rp 128.310.000 so that the leasing party will still receive cash amounting to Rp 136,500,000 then the Gross Up Method are:

X - T.X = N

1X - 0.06 X = 136.500.000

0.94 X = 136.500.000

X = 136.500.000

0.94

X = 145.212.765

Rounding = 145.213.000

The leasing party does not want to receive cash amounting Rp 115.620.000, so that the leasing party will still receive cash amounting to Rp 123.000.000, then the Gross Up Method are:

X - T.X = N

1X - 0.06 X = 123.000.000

0.94 X = 123.000.000

X = 123.000.000

0.94

X = 130.851.064
There are savings to the payable Income Tax Expense that is:
- Before the Gross Up method = Rp 51.392,900,00
- After the Gross Up method = Rp 48.779,000,00
- Payable tax savings = Rp 2.613,900,00

**Conclusion and Suggestions**

Based on the result of the discussion about tax planning on Income Tax Article 23 that occurred in PT. TRIPERKASA AMININDAH Surabaya, the conclusions can be drawn from the discussion are:

a. Still less effective in tax planning implementation on Income Tax Article 23 as a legal effort to minimize the tax expense payable in PT TRIPERKASA AMININDAH in Surabaya.
b. The existence of tax savings owed.

Suggestions that can be given are as follows:

a. Management is advised to perform tax planning to minimize tax payable so that it can be expected to provide for the company welfare.
b. For management to perform good tax planning, toward Income Tax Article 23, then the Company will be able to generate optimal profit and payable expense of Income Tax will be made savings.
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